

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/978,599	10/16/2001	Rony A. Abovitz	ZKT 2 0014	5128
75	90 01/31/2005		EXAM	INER
Thomas E. Kocovsky, Jr.			JUNG, WILLIAM C	
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 Superior A	Avenue		3737	
Cleveland, OH			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/978,599	ABOVITZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William Jung	3737	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a right of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the main the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thin od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	June 2004.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 2-15 and 17-39 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 2-15 and 17-39 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a		7	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure	ents have been received.  Ents have been received in Actionity documents have been	pplication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)		•	•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:	—·	

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the length of the abstract is over 15 lines or 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-15 and 17-39 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-15 and 17-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kienzle, III et al* (US 6,285,902) in view of *Martinez* (US 4,577,629).

Kienzle, III et al substantially disclose all claimed features in claims 2-15 and 17-39.

Claims 2, 5, 7-11, 20, 23, 30, 31, 38, and 39: Kienzle, III et al disclose a method and apparatus where an imaging guided surgery system with computer includes an image guided surgery software that provides an minimal user functionality by having specific software enabled to apply preselected surgical procedure and software-integrated kit for instrumented tools and digital medium with image guided surgery software to facilitate the performance of the surgical procedure and a tracking system to locate the surgical tools via display used in conjunction with the computer (col. 4, line 16 – col. 5, line 13; col. 6, lines 25-35; col. 8, line 14 – col. 9, line 44).

Application/Control Number: 09/978,599

Art Unit: 3737

However, Kienzle, III et al do not disclose that the surgical tools are disposable kit. It is well known in the art that the surgical tools or instruments are disposable for safety reason where the tools are discarded to disposed until the tools are sterilized as shown by Martinez for example. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Martinez's disposable surgical tools to the method and system of Kienzle, III et al described above.

Claims 3, 4, 6, 12-15, 17-19, 21, 23, 24-29, and 32-37: Kienzle, III et al disclose a mobile cart 125 that holds computer 121, 124 and display 122 which receive and display digital data obtained from the imaging unit 112 as shown in figure 1. In addition, the image-guided system includes position-monitoring sensor 123 tracking the position and movement of the surgical tools. Furthermore, Kienzle, III et al disclose image mapping of the where image guidance is referenced from the image coordinate correlated to position sensor and tracking device (col. 11, line 31 – col. 12, line 20).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Jung, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-4739. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/978,599

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

μ (*J* January 9, 2005

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 4